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ABSTRACT

The identification of food, mold, and other sensitivities in the therapy of environmentally ill patients can be cumbersome and lengthy. The use of the ALCAT® test, a Coulter Counter and computer integrated system which is able to measure white cell reactions to foods, molds and chemicals, was used to identify food and mold triggers in a group of 172 patients as seen in a primary care internal medical practice. Percentage improvement was averaged in various symptom categories. Percentage improvements in symptoms, as judged by the patients, and the speed and accuracy of the technique indicate that the ALCAT® procedure is a beneficial addition to the armamentarium of the physical treating environmental illnesses. Environmental sensitivities, allergy, ALCAT test, Coulter Counter and computer integrated systems, white blood cell reaction, platelet reaction, double blind challenge, food allergy, food hypersensitivity, Serial Endpoint Titration, delayed reaction.

INTRODUCTION

This paper will demonstrate the potential benefits derived from the ALCAT® testing technique in the practice of Environmental Illness. Fell et al. was able to design a diet based upon ALCAT® testing which helped in a number of clinical situations, including irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), allergic rhinitis, urticaria, eczema, and migraine. This author’s experience with Bryan’s cytotoxic testing suggests that the above disorders and others are diet dependent. Early methods used to identify trigger foods included; cytotoxic testing; and oral food challenge. These methods are either cumbersome, as in the case of cytotoxic testing, where a technician’s opinions are “subjective”. Thus, the development of a reliable in-vitro test to check a patient’s blood to identify and eliminate food sensitivities was felt to be of substantive value. The ALCAT® test was found to be a quick and accurate method for diagnosing and managing diet related disorders.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The ALCAT® system, as offered by AMTL in 1988, consisted of panels with ten foods, thirty foods, 50 foods, 100 foods and ten moulds. New patients whose symptoms were felt to be food and/or mold dependent, based upon answers given in an Allergy Data Base and Health History, were offered the appropriate food and mold panels. Food panels were selected to cover repeatedly eaten foods, and at the least cost to the patient. Patients included in this study were seen between January, 1989 and October 1990. Of these 192 cases, 7 were excluded because they declined skin testing and allergy injections, even though their mold ALCAT® was positive and/or their health questionnaire was positive for mold, pollen or dust sensitivity. In ten patients, the food ALCAT test was positive for reactive foods, but the patients did not find that eliminating these foods helped their symptoms. These patients were also excluded. Some patients reported being worse during the first three days of elimination, but no patient reported being worse after the initial withdrawal period, lasting only three to five days.

After the appropriate food panel had been selected, equal 500 microliter aliquots of blood were pipetted into a test vial containing either allergen or allergen free buffer (to serve as a control). There were five control vials, in the 30, 50 and 100 food panels. Each sample was put through the analyzer. Using the Coulter method of particle sizing and counting, a size distribution curve depicting the total white blood cell and platelet population was made. At the end of a 90 minute incubation, 45 minutes at 36.6°C and 45 minutes at room temperature (21°-22°C), the interface computer program averaged the control curves, creating a master graph with the control samples and integrating a test graph for the blood reactions with each food or mold extract. The graph for each food was plotted against the master graph. An algorithm determined the degree of shift or dislocation that occurred between each test graph and the master control. All cellular elements were present during incubation. A red-cell lysing solution was added to the mixture for 45 seconds before it was read by the Coulter Counter, lysing the red cells but not the white cells, enabling the
measurement of the white cell and the platelet changes.

Distribution curves were plotted after the incubation with the food or mold extracts and plotted with the cell size on the abscissa and the cell number on the ordinate, then compared graphically to the control curve. Each food reaction was represented with two lines. They were superimposed graphically in the histogram, with one line representing the allergen free buffer and the other representing the response of the patient’s white cells and platelets.

Reading from left to right, the curve revealed the response of the platelets, followed by the lymphocytes and monocytes and on the right-end by the granulocytes. The region on the extreme left of the graph is the area where platelet agglutination is observed, if it occurs. The left peak, just to the right of the platelet area, is comprised of lymphocytes. Monocytes are to the right of the lymphocyte peak, and the granulocyte peak is noted on the far right side of the graph.

Earlier studies by Sandberg indicated that changes in cell size were rarely greater than 0 to 9 percent in healthy subjects who did not have adverse or other reactions to foods. Changes of 10% to 12% are considered possible causes of adverse reactions to foods and those greater than 12% are considered probable causes of adverse reactions. Confirmation of sensitivity depends on relief of symptoms with food avoidance and the return of symptoms with reintroduction of the food in question. Platelet and white cell changes, as noted by Pasula, were included in the designation of a food as reactive.

The results of the ALCAT® tests were discussed with each patient. The patient was instructed to avoid all foods that caused a 10% or greater reaction and/or other reactions to foods. Changes of 10% to 12% are considered possible causes of adverse reactions to foods and those greater than 12% are considered probable causes of adverse reactions. Confirmation of sensitivity depends on relief of symptoms with food avoidance and the return of symptoms with reintroduction of the food in question. Platelet and white cell changes, as noted by Pasula, were included in the designation of a food as reactive.

The subcategories of the elimination diet were discussed with each patient. The patient was instructed to avoid all foods that caused a 10% or greater reaction and/or a significant platelet reaction. Four-day rotation diets were devised, with appropriate food eliminations. The patients were seen at one to three month intervals. Three to six months later, Serial Endpoint Titration (SET), according to Rinkel, was carried out. A time separation of three to six months from the institution of an elimination diet to the institution of allergy skin testing and injection for inhalant allergies enabled the patient to evaluate improvements, or lack of improvements, separately. Immunotherapy was given weekly or biweekly, in addition to the four-day rotation and elimination diets. For those patients who were unable to follow an elimination diet, food extracts were devised using the Carlton Lee Technique and administered by immunotherapy.

A total of 172 cases were reviewed for this study. One to two years after the diets were given an independent reviewer, unfamiliar with the subject matter, asked the patients to evaluate, on a scale of zero to ten the effectiveness of the elimination diets and/or allergy shots in alleviating their symptoms. The responses to food elimination and immunotherapy were assessed by the patients and were evaluated as two separate scores. The questions were kept simple so as not to confuse the patients in their responses. Improvement percentages were based on ratings given by the patient. A rating of 10 was a 100% improvement, clearing all symptoms. A rating of 5 was a 50% improvement. For the complaint of headaches or pain due to IBS, a rating of 10 was given if the headache, pain or diarrhea cleared completely. A 5 meant that headaches were 50% better in terms of frequency and severity. A score of zero was given when there was no diminution in symptoms.

The cases evaluated in this study included patients with the following clinical conditions: classic migraine; common migraine; sinus headaches; irritable bowel syndrome (IBS); gastroesophageal reflux (GERD); inflammatory arthritis; degenerative arthritis; asthma; recurrent sinusitis; tension fatigue syndrome due to allergy; depression and/or anxiety; obesity; eczema; recurrent vaginitis; recurrent cystitis; and allergic rhinitis. These conditions, in the author’s experience, were often triggered by foods. The number of patients in each category varied from a minimum of four recurrent urinary tract infections to a maximum of 108 with allergic rhinitis.

RESULTS

The subcategories of the elimination diet based upon the ALCAT® and immunotherapy could be judged separately, since the immunotherapy was instituted three to six months after the institution of the elimination diet. The improvements associated on the elimination diets were usually evident within four to six weeks and were therefore complete before immunotherapy for the inhalant allergies had been started. The average number of symptoms per patient was 3.7, with extremes of one to nine.

Table 1 lists the improvement percentages for the clinical conditions treated, broken down into the subcategories of food elimination based upon the ALCAT® testing, food extracts, where the reactive foods could not be avoided according to the Carlton Lee Technique and immunotherapy based upon the Serial Endpoint Titration according to Rinkel.
TABLE 1
The Percentage Of Improvement In symptoms With Diet Elimination Based Upon The ALCAT® Test And Percentage Of Improvement In Symptoms With Immunotherapy Based Upon SET.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Condition</th>
<th>Average Improvement</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Classic Migraine</td>
<td>82 % food elimination</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Migraine</td>
<td>62 % food elimination</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinus Headaches</td>
<td>58 % food elimination</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS)</td>
<td>71 % food elimination</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroesophageal Reflux (GERD)</td>
<td>75 % food elimination</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory Arthritis</td>
<td>65 % food elimination</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Degenerative Arthritis</td>
<td>44 % food elimination</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>30 % food elimination</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent Sinusitis</td>
<td>59 % food elimination</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension Fatigue Syndrome</td>
<td>60 % food elimination</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression and/or Anxiety</td>
<td>52 % food elimination</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>50 % food elimination</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eczema</td>
<td>55 % food elimination</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent Vaginitis</td>
<td>20 % food elimination</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent Urinary Tract Infection</td>
<td>46 % food elimination</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic Rhinitis</td>
<td>42 % food elimination</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63 % immunotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

The ALCAT® testing was most helpful in designing diets that were used for the treatment of patients with classic migraine (85%), common migraine (62%), sinus headaches (58%), gastroesophageal reflux (GERD) (75%), IBS (71%), inflammatory arthritis (65%), recurrent Sinusitis (59%), tension fatigue syndrome (60%), obesity (50%), and eczema (55%). It was less helpful in designing diets that were used for the management of patients with asthma (30%), depression and/or anxiety (31%), recurrent vaginitis (20%), recurrent urinary tract infection (46%), degenerative arthritis (44%) and allergic rhinitis (42%). Many authors have indicated that food allergy is an important cause of migraine headaches, gastrointestinal (GERD), and irritable bowel syndrome.

Inhalant immunotherapy was the most useful for classic migraine (51%), common migraine (50%), sinus headaches (75%), asthma (54%), recurrent sinusitis (71%), tension fatigue syndrome (51%), depression and/or anxiety (71%), and allergic rhinitis (61%). It was intermediate for inflammatory arthritis (49%), and degenerative arthritis (49%), but was less helpful for IBS (39%), GERD (20%), obesity (9%), recurrent vaginitis (27%), and recurrent urinary tract infections (23%).

The food elimination diets, based on the ALCAT® test was helpful in one case of Crohn’s disease (80% improvement) and two cases of children with Attention Deficit Disorder with the Hyperactivity (ADHD) (60% and 80% improvement). The first ADHD patient used food drops and a rotation diet. The second ADD case used an elimination diet. There were two cases of Parkinson’s disease with an 80% improvement on a restricted diet. One case of Alzheimer’s disease was felt to have improved 80% as a result of a restricted diet. Similar results have been obtained by others for ADHD and Crohn’s disease.

ALCAT® testing demonstrates several advantages over other forms of allergy testing.

The ALCAT® is specific to each patient. A food that is not on the standard IBS or migraine diet, but which bothers a patient, may be identified by ALCAT®. The ALCAT® testing procedure correlate 79% with double blind challenge if positive, and 87.9% if negative. The positive platelet reactions noted on the ALCAT® histograms increase the positive correlation’s another 50%, bringing the positive correlation’s with double blind challenge to 87% to 92%.

ALCAT® is quick. Four-day fasting and oral challenge, done on an outpatient basis of in an ecology unit, can take as much as 25 days (at 3 to 4 foods a day). ALCAT® can test 100 foods in four hours.

The ALCAT® is simple. The system sizes and counts approximately 4000-8000 cells in six seconds. A trained cytotoxic technician cannot visually identify the subtle cell size changes, which are very accurately identified by the ALCAT® system.

The ALCAT® panels are predetermined. The foods and molds cannot be shifted or substituted within a panel. The ALCAT® is a guide, which needs confirmation with avoidance and challenge, as it is not 100% accurate as compared to the standard set by an oral food challenge. Percentages of correlation for ALCAT® is 79.3% for positive reacting foods and...
higher (87%-92%), if platelet reacting foods are included. The percentage correlation for negative reacting foods is 87%.73

Crook75 has noted that children with food allergies had more than one symptom. This is also true of adult patients. The average number of symptoms experienced by the patients in this series was 3.7, with a range from one to nine. Speer76 noted that 86% of children who were sensitive to foods were also sensitive to inhalants, with molds and house dust being the most common offenders. In this study, 57% (93/162) of adult patients were also allergic to inhalants- dust, pollens, molds, and were treated with immunotherapy. Ninety-three of 162 patients with food allergies were thought to be inhalant sensitive. Ten of the original 172 cases were mold sensitive but not food sensitive.

The patients in this series usually came to the author as a last resort. Foods had not been thought previously to be triggering their symptoms. This may account for the relatively high percentage, which improved with food elimination.

Whereas these results are encouraging, further work needs to be done on the mechanism that causes the changes in cell size and number measured by the ALCAT® test. The advantage of the ALCAT® test is that the operative mechanisms are multiple. Pasula and Puccio suggest that IgA, IgM and IgE are involved in the reactions.77 Fell suggests that the toxic effect of foods may not always be immunological 74,78 and the effect may be cellular, for the molecules concerned may be to small to have any immunological effect.74 It would be worthwhile to study these other mechanisms as this might shed light on the underlying pathologic mechanisms of adverse reactions to foods and molds. The ALCAT® test does not usually measure reactions, which are IgE mediated. According to M.J. Pasula, further research is underway to develop an ALCAT® basophile degranulation test; this might help to measure a wider spectrum of allergic and/or pharmacologic reactions.

SUMMARY

The advantages of speed and scope of the ALCAT® system in testing foods, in terms of numbers of foods that can be tested at one time, more than compensates for the slight decrease in accuracy when compared to the standard of oral food challenge. In terms of objectivity and accuracy, it is superior to cytotoxic testing. Compared to standard IBS or standard migraine diets, the ALCAT® testing is much superior because it is specific to the patient.

The ALCAT® tests for delayed hypersensitivity and other varieties of adverse reactions but usually not those which are IgE mediated reactions. Research is presently underway to develop an ALCAT® basophil degranulation test, which could help the ALCAT® technique measure a wider spectrum of allergic and/or pharmacologic reactions.
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